

| | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| <p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p> | <p>Application No. 10/517,869</p> | <p>Applicant(s) LEHMANN ET AL.</p> | |
| | <p>Examiner Phyllis G. Spivack</p> | <p>Art Unit 1614</p> | |

All Participants:

(1) Phyllis G. Spivack.

(2) John Genova.

Date of Interview: 26 August 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
15-28

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner contacted Mr. Genova to suggest allowance of the methods comprising administering one of the three species recited in instant claims 24, 26 and 27 that were previously indicated to be allowable. The suggestion was rejected by Mr. Genova.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Phyllis G. Spivack/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)